# LECTURES ON SINGULARITIES AND ADJOINT LINEAR SYSTEMS 

LAWRENCE EIN

## Abstract.

## 1. Singularities of Surfaces

Let $(X, o)$ be an isolated normal surfaces singularity. The basic philosophy is to replace the singularity by a manifold. This procedure is the resolution of singularities.

Definition 1.1. Let $(X, o)$ be a normal surface singularity. A resolution of the singularity $(X, o)$ is a proper birational morphism $f: Y \rightarrow$ $X$, where $Y$ is smooth. The set $f^{-1}(o)=E_{1} \cup \cdots \cup E_{n}$ is called the exceptional divisor.

Remark 1.2. Since $X$ is normal, then $f^{-1}(o)$ is connected, hence has no isolated points. This implies that $E_{i}$ are distinct irreducible curves.
Theorem 1.3. The intersection matrix $\left(\left(E_{i} \cdot E_{j}\right)\right)$ is negative definite, where $E_{i}$ are the exaction curves.

Proof. We only need to show that for any non-trivial divisor $D=$ $\sum a_{i} E_{i}, D^{2}<0$. Assume for contradiction that $D^{2} \geq 0$. We will reduce to that $D$ is effective. Write $D=A-B$ such that $A$ and $B$ are effective and have no common components. Then $D^{2}=A^{2}-2 A \cdot B+B^{2} \geq 0$. Since $A \cdot B \geq 0$, hence $A^{2} \geq 0$ or $B^{2} \geq 0$. Assume that $A^{2} \geq 0$. Moreover, we may assume that $X$ and $Y$ are projective. Take an ample divisor $H$ on $X$. Then $f^{*} H^{2}=H^{2}>0$ and $A \cdot f^{*} H=0$. By Hodge index theorem, the intersection matrix over $H^{\perp}$ is negative definite. This is a contradiction.

Definition 1.4. A divisor $D$ on a projective variety $X$ is called nef (numerically effective) if $D \cdot C \geq 0$ for any curve $C$ on $X$. We say $X$ is minimal if the canonical divisor $K_{X}$ is nef.

In higher dimension, to run the MMP, we will encounter singularities. But the singularities are not too bad.

Theorem 1.5. Let $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational map. If $X$ is minimal, then $\varphi$ is a morphism.

Definition 1.6. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism. We say that a divisor $D$ is $f$-nef if $D \cdot C \geq 0$ for any $f$-exceptional curves $C$.

Lemma 1.7 (Negativity Lemma). Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism with exceptional divisor $E_{i}$. If the divisor $D=\sum a_{i} E_{i}$ is a $f$-nef divisor, then $-D$ is effective.

Proof. We will first proof the case that $X$ and $Y$ are surface. Write $D=A-B$ where $A$ and $B$ are effective divisors and have no common components. Since $D$ is $f$-nef, hence $D \cdot A=A^{2}-A \cdot B \geq 0$. On the other hand, $A^{2} \leq 0$ and $A \cdot B \geq 0$. Therefore, $A^{2}-A \cdot B \leq 0$. This implies that $A=0$. Therefore $-D=B$ is effective.

For higher dimensional case, we may cut $X$ by $n-3$ general hypersurface to reduce to the surface case.

Proof of the Theorem. Take a resolution of the birational map $\varphi$. We get the a variety $Z$ and two birational morphisms $f: Z \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Z \rightarrow X$. We will use the nefness of $K_{X}$ to show that no such resolution is needed. Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{Z} & =f^{*} K_{Y}+\sum a_{i} E_{i}+\sum f_{j} F_{i} \\
& =g^{*} K_{X}+\sum a_{i}^{\prime} E_{i}+\sum g_{k} G_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{i}$ are $f$ - and $g$-exceptional divisors, $F_{j}$ are $f$-exceptional but not $g$-exceptional divisors and $G_{k}$ are $g$-exceptional but not $f$-exceptional
divisor. Then $a_{i}, a_{i}^{\prime}, f_{j}$ and $g_{k}$ are nonnegative. Consider the difference

$$
\left(g^{*} K_{X}+\sum a_{i}^{\prime} E_{i}+\sum g_{k} G_{k}\right)-\left(f^{*} K_{Y}+\sum a_{i} E_{i}+\sum f_{j} F_{i}\right)=0
$$

We get

$$
g^{*} K_{X}-f^{*} K_{Y}+\sum g_{k} G_{k}=\sum f_{j} F_{i}+\sum\left(a_{i}-a_{i}^{\prime}\right) E_{i} .
$$

Note that the left hand side is $f$-nef since $K_{X}$ is nef and $G_{k}$ are not $f$-exceptional. Then by Negativity Lemma, $-\sum f_{j} F_{i}+\sum\left(a_{i}^{\prime}-a_{i}\right) E_{i}$ must be nef. Hence $f_{j}$ must be zero and $a_{i}^{\prime} \geq a_{i}$. In other words, there is no $f$-exceptional but not $g$-exceptional divisors. Hence, there is no blowing up of $\varphi$ needed. Therefore, $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism.

Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety. Denote by $N_{1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ the space of 1-cycles of X modulo numerical equivalence. It is know that $N_{1}(X)$ is a finite dimensional space. Denote by $N E_{1}(X)$ the subspace of effective curves.

Theorem 1.8 (Cone Theorem).

$$
\overline{N E}_{1}(X)=\overline{N E}_{1}(X)_{K_{X} \geq 0}+\sum \mathbb{R}_{+} C_{i}
$$

where $C_{i}$ are rational curves such that $-K_{X} \cdot C_{i} \leq \operatorname{dim} X+1$. Moreover, $C_{i}$ 's form a countable collection.

Those $C_{i}$ 's a
Assume that $X$ is a surface. Then
(1) if $-K_{X} \cdot C_{i}=1$ then $C_{i}^{2}=-1$ which implies that $C_{i}$ is a smooth (-1)-curve.
(2) if $-K_{X} \cdot C_{i}=2$ then $C_{i}^{2}=0$ which implies that $X$ is a ruled surface.
(3) if $-K_{X} \cdot C_{i}=3$ then $X=\mathbb{P}^{2}$.

Definition 1.9. Let $f: Y^{2} \rightarrow\left(X^{2}, o\right)$ be a resolution of an isolated normal surface singularity and $f^{-1}(o)=E_{1} \cup \cdots \cup E_{n}$. A effective
cycle $Z_{\text {nef }}=\sum a_{i} E_{i}$ is called a minimal nef cycle, if $-Z_{\text {nef }}$ is nef and $Z_{\text {nef }} \leq D$ for any effective cycle $D=\sum d_{i} E_{i}$ such that $-D$ is nef.

Proposition 1.10. $Z_{\text {nef }}$ is well-defined and unique.

Proof. Let $D=\sum d_{i} E_{i}$ and $D^{\prime}=\sum d_{i}^{\prime} E_{i}$ be two effective cycles such that $-D$ and $-D^{\prime}$ are nef. Define $D^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Min}\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)=\sum \min \left(d_{i}, d_{i}^{\prime}\right) E_{i}$. We claim that $-D^{\prime \prime}$ is nef. Write $D=D^{\prime \prime}+R_{1}$ and $D^{\prime}=D^{\prime \prime}+R_{2}$. Then $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ have no common components. Therefore any exceptional curve $E_{i}$ can appear in at most one of the two cycles $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$. Without lose of generality, we assume that $E_{i}$ does not appear in $R_{1}$. Then $D^{\prime \prime} \cdot E_{i}=D \cdot E_{i}-R_{1} \cdot E_{i} \leq 0$. Therefore $-D^{\prime \prime}$ is nef.

### 1.1. Rational singularities.

Definition 1.11 (Rational Singularity). A morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is said to be a rational resolution if $Y$ is smooth and $f$ is a proper and birational morphism such that $R^{i} f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Y}=0$ for $i>0$.

Proposition 1.12. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a rational resolution and $f^{\prime}$ : $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be another resolution. Then $f^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is also a rational resolution.

Proof. We have a birational map $\varphi: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$. Successively Blowing up the undefined locus of $\varphi$, we get a variety $Z$ and two proper birational morphisms $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ and $g^{\prime}: Z \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ such that $h:=f \circ g=f^{\prime} \circ g^{\prime}$. Since $g$ is the composition of blowing-ups. Then $R^{q} g_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z}\right)=0$ for $q>0$. Apply the Leray spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}=R^{p} f_{*}\left(R^{q} g_{*}(\mathscr{F})\right) \Rightarrow R^{p+q}(f \circ g)_{*}(\mathscr{F}) .
$$

It follows that $R^{i} h_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Z}=0$ for $i>0$. Apply the Leray spectral sequence to $f^{\prime} \circ g^{\prime}$. It is easy to see that $R^{1} f_{*}^{\prime} \mathscr{O}_{Y}=0$. In fact, it fits in the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow R^{1} f_{*}^{\prime} \mathscr{O}_{Y} \rightarrow R^{1} h_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Z} \rightarrow f_{*}^{\prime} R^{1} g^{\prime} \mathscr{O}_{Z}
$$

Since $Y^{\prime}$ is smooth hence $Y^{\prime}$ has a rational resolution. Now $Z$ is another resolution of $Y^{\prime}$. By the above argument, we can conclude that
$R^{1} g_{*}^{\prime} \mathscr{O}_{Z}=0$. Apply the Leray spectral sequence to $p+q=2$. We see that $R^{2} f_{*}^{\prime} \mathscr{O}_{Y}=0$. Hence $R^{2} g_{*}^{\prime} \mathscr{O}_{Z}=0$. By induction, we conclude that $R^{p} f_{*}^{\prime} \mathscr{O}_{Y}=0$ for $p>0$.

This shows that rational resolution is well-defined.
Proposition 1.13. Let $f: Y \rightarrow(X, o)$ be a resolution of a rational surface singularity. Then $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}\right) \leq 1$.

Proof. Since $(X, o)$ is rational and normal, then $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}\right)=H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)=$ 0 . Therefore, $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}\right) \leq 1$.

What if $R^{i} f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Y} \neq 0$ ?
Let $f: Y \rightarrow(X, o)$ be a resolution. Then $R^{1} f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Y}$ is a finite length module supported at the origin. It is also an invariant of the singularity, called the geometric genus of $o$ (See Kollár). Since smooth varieties has rational resolution, then $R^{1} g_{*}^{\prime} \mathscr{O}_{Z}=0$. Therefore, the Leray spectral sequence tells us that $R^{1} f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Y}=R^{1} f_{*}^{\prime} \mathscr{O}_{Y}$.

Reference: Miled Reid, Park city Lecture notes.
Since $R^{1} f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Y}$ is supported at the origin, by Serre-Grothendieck spectral sequence, we know that $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)=R^{1} f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Y}$. To compute higher direct image sheaves, besides the definition, we have the formal function theorem.

Theorem 1.14. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper morphism and $S$ be a subvariety of $X$. Then for any coherent sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on $Y$, we have

$$
\widehat{R^{p} f_{*} \mathscr{F}}=\lim _{k} R^{p} f_{*}\left(\mathscr{F} / I^{k} \mathscr{F}\right),
$$

where $I$ is the defining ideal of $S$ in $Y$ and the left hand side is the completion along $I \mathscr{O}_{Y}$.

Remark 1.15. The left hand side in fact is isomorphic to $R^{p} f_{*} \mathscr{F}$ since $R^{p} f_{*} \mathscr{F}$ is coherent. A completion of a finitely generated module $M$
over a Noetherian ring $R$ can be obtained by extension of scalars: $\hat{M}=$ $M \otimes_{R} \hat{R}$.

By the formal function theorem, we see that there is an isomorphism

$$
H^{1}\left(O_{Y}\right)=\lim _{\operatorname{Supp}(D) \subset f^{-1}(o)} H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}\right)
$$

On the other hand, we have morphism $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}\right)$. Therefore, there is a $D$ such that $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right) \cong H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}^{\prime}\right)$ for all $D^{\prime} \geq D$.

Definition 1.16 (Cohomology cycle). Let $f: Y \rightarrow(X, 0)$ be a resolution of an isolates normal surface singularity $(X, o)$. A divisor $D$ supported on the exceptional locus is called a cohomology cycle if $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right) \cong H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}\right)$.

Theorem 1.17. There exists a unique minimal cohomology cycle.

The proof is similar to the proof of existence of minimal nef cycle.

Proof. Let $D=\sum d_{i} E_{i}$ and $D^{\prime}=\sum d_{i}^{\prime} E_{i}$ be two cohomology cycles. We claim that $D^{\prime \prime}=\min \left(D, D^{\prime}\right)=\sum \min d_{i}, d_{i}^{\prime} E_{i}$ is also a cohomology cycle. Write $D=D^{\prime \prime}+R_{1}$ and $D^{\prime}=D^{\prime \prime}+R_{2}$. Then $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ have no common components. Therefore, $I_{D \cap D^{\prime}}=\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-D^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes I_{\Sigma}$, where $\Sigma=R_{1} \cap R_{2}$.

We have the following exact sequences.

$$
0 \rightarrow I_{D \cup D^{\prime}} \rightarrow I_{D} \oplus I_{D^{\prime}} \rightarrow I_{D \cap D^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0
$$

which induces an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{D \cup D^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{D} \oplus I_{D^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{D \cap D^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Therefore, we have an exact sequence

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D \cup D^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}\right) \oplus H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D \cap D^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

It is also easy to check that

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Sigma}\left(-D^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{D \cap D^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{D^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact by snake lemma. Therefore, $h^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D^{\prime \prime}}\right) \geq h^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}\right)+h^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D^{\prime}}\right)-$ $h^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D \cap D^{\prime}}\right)=h^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)$. We conclude that $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ is an isomorphism.

Let $f: Y \rightarrow(X, o)$ be a resolution of a rational surface singularity. Assume that $X$ is affine. Then $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)=0$. Moreover, $H^{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)=0$. From the exponential sequce

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}^{*} \rightarrow 0
$$

we see that

$$
\operatorname{Pic}(Y)=H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}^{*}\right)=H^{2}(Y, \mathbb{Z})=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \cdot L_{i}
$$

where $L_{i}$ are divisors such that $L_{i} \cdot E_{j}=\delta_{i j}$. A divisor $L$ on $Y$ is then determined by the intersection numbers $a_{i}=L \cdot E_{i}$. Slightly move $L_{i}$ to $L_{i}^{\prime}$, we get the same intersection number. Hence $\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(L_{i}\right)$ is globally generated. A divisor $L$ on $Y$ is nef if and only if $a_{i} \geq 0$. Line bundles associated to nef divisors are globally generated. The nef cone $\operatorname{Nef}(Y)$ is $\sum \mathbb{Z}_{+} L_{i}$.

Let $f: Y \rightarrow(X, o)$ be a resolution of an isolated surface singularity. Denote the maximal ideal associated to $o$ by $\mathfrak{m}$. Then $\mathfrak{m} \mathscr{O}_{Y}=$ $\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{\max }\right) \otimes I_{\Sigma}$ where $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma=0$. Morevore, $-Z_{\max }$ is nef and $Z_{\text {max }} \geq Z_{\text {nef }}$.

Definition 1.18 (Fundamental cycle). The cycle $Z_{\max }$ is called the fundamental cyle.

Remark 1.19. In Miles Reid's book, $Z_{\max }$ is called the fiber cycle.
Theorem 1.20 (Artin). Assume that $(X, o)$ is an rational surface singularity. Then
(1) $\mathfrak{m} \mathscr{O}_{Y}=\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{\max }\right)$, i.e. $I_{\Sigma}=\mathscr{O}_{Y}$. Moreover, $Z_{\max }=Z_{\text {nef }}$.
(2) $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}=H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{\max }}\left(-Z_{\text {max }}\right)\right.$ and the embedding dimension of o is $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}=-Z_{\text {max }}^{2}+1$.
(3) The multiplicity of o is $-Z_{\max }^{2}$.

Proof. We may assume that $X$ is affine. Since $(X, o)$ is rational and $-Z_{\text {max }}$ is nef, then $\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{\max }\right)$ is globally generated, equivalently, $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{\max }\right)\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{\max }\right)$ is surjective. Notice that $\mathfrak{m}=H^{0}\left(Y, \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{\text {max }}\right)\right)$ and $\mathfrak{m} \mathscr{O}_{Y} \subset \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{\text {max }}\right)$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{m} \mathscr{O}_{Y}=$ $\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{\max }\right)$. Since $Z_{\max } \geq Z_{\text {nef }}$, hence $\mathfrak{m}=H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{\text {max }}\right)\right) \subset$ $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{n e f}\right)\right)$. Therefore $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{n e f}\right)\right)=\mathfrak{m}$. Since $\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{n e f}\right)$ is also globally generated, then $\mathfrak{m} \mathscr{O}_{Y}=\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{\text {nef }}\right)=\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{\text {max }}\right)$. Take the cohomology of the following short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-2 Z_{\max }\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{\max }\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z_{\max }}\left(-Z_{\max }\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Note that $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-2 Z_{\max }\right)=0\right.$ and $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{\max }}\left(-Z_{\max }\right)=0\right.$ because $-Z_{\max }$ is nef and big. We then have the following short exact sequence
$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{2}=H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-2 Z_{\max }\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}=H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{\max }\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{\max }}\left(-Z_{\max }\right)\right) \rightarrow 0\right.\right.$.
The embedding dimension is given by
$h^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{\max }}\left(-Z_{\max }\right)\right)=\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{\max }}\left(-Z_{\max }\right)\right)=-Z_{\max }^{2}+1-p_{a}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{\max }}\right)=-Z_{\max }^{2}+1$.
Apply the same trick to $\mathfrak{m}^{k} / \mathfrak{m}^{k+1}$, we see that

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m}^{k} / \mathfrak{m}^{k+1}=h^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{\max }}\left(-k Z_{\max }\right)\right)=-k Z_{\max }^{2}+1
$$

Hence mult ${ }_{o} X=-Z_{\text {max }}^{2}$.

By Artin's theorem, we know that a rational surface singularity is a hypersurface singularity if $Z_{\max }^{2}=-2$.

### 1.2. Du Val singularities.

Definition 1.21. Let $f: Y \rightarrow(X, o)$ be a minimal resolution of an isolated surface singularity. Denote the fundamental cycle by $Z$. We say $(X, o)$ is a Du Val singularity if $K_{Y} \cdot E=0$ for any exceptional curve $E$.

Theorem 1.22. An isolated surface singularity $(X, o)$ is $D u$ Val if and only if $(X, o)$ is a rational double point, equivalently a rational singularity such that $Z^{2}=-2$.

Proof. Assume that $(X, o)$ is a rational double point. Then $K_{Y} \cdot Z=$ $2 p_{a}(Z)-2-Z^{2}=-2 \chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z}\right)-Z^{2}=0$. Because $Y$ is minimal. We know that $K_{Y}$ is nef. As the fundamental cycle, $Z$ supported on all exceptional curves. Therefore, $K_{Y} \cdot E_{i}=0$.

Assume that $(X, o)$ is a Du Val singularity. We need to show that $R^{1} f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Y}=0$. By formal function theorem, it suffices to show that $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}\right)=0$ for all effective $D$ supported on exceptional curves. We do this by induction. Write $\mathscr{O}_{Y}=\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(K_{Y}+A\right)$. Then $A \cdot E=0$ for any exceptional curves $E$. Assume that $D=E$ is irreducible. Then $\left.\mathscr{O}_{D}=\left.\left(K_{Y}+A\right)\right|_{D}=K_{D}+(A-E) \mid\right) D$. Note that $\left.\operatorname{deg}((A-E) \mid) D\right)=$ $-D^{2} \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}\right)=H^{1}\left(K_{E}+\left.(A-E)\right|_{E}\right)=H^{0}\left(-\left.(A-E)\right|_{E}\right)=0 .
$$

Now write $D=D^{\prime}+E$.

The classification of Du Val singularities are well known. The following are all the possible Du Val singularities.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}: & x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{n+1}=0, \\
D_{n}: & x^{2}+y^{2} z+z^{n-1}=0, \\
E_{6}: & x^{2}+y^{3}+z^{4}=0, \\
E_{7}: & x^{2}+y^{3}+y z^{3}=0, \\
E_{8}: & x^{2}+y^{3}+z^{5}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

1.3. Canonical model. Let $X$ be a minimal surface of general type. Then $K_{X}$ is nef and $K_{X}^{2}>0$. By Reider's theorem, which will be discussed later, $X_{\text {can }}=\operatorname{Proj} \oplus H^{0}\left(m K_{X}\right)$ is a surface. There is a canonical birational morphism $X \rightarrow X_{\text {can }}$. The curves being contracted are the curves $C$ such that $C \dot{K}_{X}=0$. Therefore, $X_{\text {can }}$ has only Du Val singularities.
1.4. Gorenstein Singularities. Let $X$ be a normal surface. We can a canonical sheaf $\omega_{X}$ by extending the canonical bundle $\mathscr{O}_{U}\left(K_{U}\right)$, where
$U$ is the smooth locus of $X$. A normal variety $X$ is Gorenstein if $\omega_{X}$ is a line bundle.

Example 1.23. Let $S$ be the surface $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=0$. Then $\omega_{S} \cong$ $\mathscr{O}_{S}\left(\left.K_{\mathbb{A}^{3}}\right|_{S}\right) \otimes \mathscr{N}_{S}$.

Assume that $f: Y \rightarrow(X, o)$ is a minimal resolution. Since the intersection matrix is negative definite, there is a unique $\mathbb{Q}$-cycle $Z_{K}=$ $\sum a_{i} E_{i}$ such that $K_{Y} \cdot E_{i}=-Z_{K} \cdot E_{i}$ for any exceptional curve $E_{i}$. By negativity Lemma, $Z_{K}$ is effective.

Proposition 1.24. Assume that $(X, o)$ is a normal Gorenstein surface singularity. Let $f: Y \rightarrow(X, o)$ be the minimal resolution.
(1) $K_{Y}$ is linear equivalent to an integral cycle $-Z_{K}=\sum a_{i} E_{i}$ where $a_{i} \geq 0$. Moreover, if $\mathscr{O}_{K_{Y}}$ is nontrivial, then $a_{i}>0$.
(2) $Z_{K}=Z_{\text {coh }}$.

Proof. (1) Choose an effective cycle $G=D+\sum b_{i} E_{i} \sim K_{Y}$, where the components of $D$ are not $f$-exceptional. Then $f_{*} G=\bar{D} \sim$ $K_{X} \sim 0$. Now note that $f^{*} \bar{D} \sim 0$. So $K_{Y} \sim G-f^{*} f_{*} G=$ $-\sum a_{i} E_{i}$. We see that $K_{Y}$ is linearly equivalent to $-\sum a_{i}^{\prime} E_{i}$. We know that $K_{Y}$ is also numerical equivalent to $-\sum a_{i} E_{i}$. Since $\left(E_{i} \cdot E_{j}\right)$ is negative definite. So $a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i}$ and $K_{Y} \sim-Z_{K}$.
(2) Now $\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-\sum a_{i} E_{i}\right)=\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{K}\right)=\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(K_{Y}\right)$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{K}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z_{K}} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By the Grauert-Riemannschneider Theorem, $R^{i} f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(K_{Y}\right)=0$ for all $i>0$. Therefore, $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)=R^{1} f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Y} \rightarrow R^{1} f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Z}=$ $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z}\right)$ is an isomorphism. So $Z_{K}$ is a cohomology cycle. Since $Z_{\text {con }}$ is the unique minimal cohomology cycle, then $Z_{\text {coh }} \subseteq Z_{K}$. If $Z_{K}-Z_{\text {con }}$ is strictly effective, then we may write $Z_{K}=E+D$ such that $E$ is an irreducible component and $Z_{\text {coh }} \subseteq D$. So
$H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}\right) \cong H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)$. We will show that this can not be the case. Consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{E}(-D) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z_{K}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{D} \rightarrow 0
$$

We want to show that $H^{1}\left(\omega_{Z_{K}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}\right)$ has non-trivial kernel. By Serre duality, $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{K}}\right)=H^{0}\left(\omega_{Z_{K}}\right)$ and $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{D}\right)=$ $H^{0}\left(\omega_{D}\right)$. Note that $\omega_{Z_{K}}=\left.\left(K_{Y}+Z_{K}\right)\right|_{Z_{K}}=\mathscr{O}_{Z_{K}}$ and $\omega_{D}=$ $\left.\left(K_{Y}+D\right)\right|_{D}=\mathscr{O}_{D}(-E)$. Now consider the exact sequence,

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{D}(-E) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z_{K}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{E} \rightarrow 0
$$

We see easily that $H^{0}\left(\omega_{D}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\omega_{Z_{K}}\right)$ is a nonzero map. This completes the argument.

Theorem 1.25. Assume that $f: Y \rightarrow(X, o)$ be a minimal resolution of a normal surface singularity. If $K_{Y}$ is numerically equivalent to $-Z_{K}=\sum a_{i} E_{i}$ where $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $Z_{K}=Z_{\text {con }}$, then $(X, o)$ is a Gorenstein singularity.

Lemma 1.26. $\operatorname{Pic}\left(Z_{K}\right) \cong \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$

Proof. Note that $\operatorname{Supp}\left(Z_{K}\right)$ is a deformation retract of $Y$. Apply fivelemma to cohomolgy sequences of the exponential sequences of $Y$ and $Z_{K}$, we get the isomorphism.

Lemma 1.27. $K_{Y} \cong \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-Z_{K}\right)$.

Proof. By our assumption, $L=K_{Y}+Z_{K}$ is numerically trivial. We only need to show that $\left.L\right|_{Z}=\omega_{Z}$ is trivial. Then by the previous lemma $L$ is trivial. We will show that $\left.L\right|_{Z}$ is globally generated. If so, we will have a morphism $\left.\mathscr{O}_{Z} \rightarrow L\right|_{Z}$. Since $\left.L\right|_{Z}$ is numerically trivial, then $\left.\mathscr{O}_{Z} \rightarrow L\right|_{Z}$ must be an isomorphism. Write $Z_{K}=E+D$, where $E$ is an irreducible component of $Z_{K}$. By applying snake lemma, we get the following exact sequence

$$
\left.\left.\left.0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(K_{Y}+D\right)\right|_{D} \rightarrow L\right|_{Z} \rightarrow \omega_{Z}\right|_{E} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $Z_{K}$ is the minimal cohomology cycle, then $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{E}(-D)\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{K}}\right)$ is a non-zero map. By Serre duality, $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{K}}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\left.\omega_{Z_{K}}\right|_{E}\right)$ have a non-zero map. Since $\omega_{Z}$ is numerically trivial. So $\left.\omega_{Z_{K}}\right|_{E}=\mathscr{O}_{E}$ and the section 1 of $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{E}\right)$ can be lifted to $\omega_{Z_{K}}$. This shows that $\omega_{Z_{K}}$ is generated by the section. We conclude that $\omega_{Z_{K}}=\mathscr{O}_{Z_{K}}$.

## 2. Flip constructed from linear algebra

Let $V=\mathbb{C}^{a+1}$ and $W=\mathbb{C}^{b+1}$ with $b \geq a \geq 0$. Set $H=$ $\operatorname{Hom}(V, W)=\mathbb{C}^{(a+1)(b+1)}$. Consider the subvariety $X=\{\varphi \in H \mid$ $\operatorname{rank} \varphi \leq 1\}$ of $H$. Outside the origin, actions of $G L(V)$ and $G L(W)$ will move $\varphi \in X$ transitively. Therefore, $X$ has an isolated singularity at the origin. We will see that $(X, o)$ has two natural resolutions.

One way to say that $\varphi \in X$ is rank one is a 1 -dimensional quotient space $\operatorname{Im}(\varphi)=V / U$ of some $a$-dimensional subspace $U \subset W$. Another way is that $\operatorname{Im}(\varphi)$ is a 1 -dimensional subspace of $W$.

Denote by $P=\mathbb{P}^{a}=\mathbb{P}(V)$. 1-dimensional quotients of $V$ are classified by $\mathscr{O}_{P}(1)$. Let $Y=\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}\left(\mathscr{O}_{P}(1), W \otimes \mathscr{O}_{P}\right)=W \otimes \mathscr{O}_{P}(-1)$. As the total space of a vector bundle $Y$ is smooth. We have a natural morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X \subset H$, which maps a morphism $\mathscr{O}_{P}(1) \rightarrow W \otimes \mathscr{O}_{P}$ to the composition $V \otimes \mathscr{O}_{P} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{P}(1) \rightarrow W \otimes \mathscr{O}_{P}$. It is easy to see that the pre-image of a point outside the zero point of $X$ is a single point. Hence $Y \rightarrow X$ is a resolution. In fact, $Y \rightarrow X$ collapse the zero section of $Y$. Denote the zero section by $Z$. Then the normal bundle $\mathscr{N}_{Z}$ is isomorphic to $W \otimes \mathscr{O}_{P}(-1)$. Therefore, $\Omega_{Y / P} \cong \mathscr{N}_{Z}^{*}=W^{*} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{P}$ and $\left.K_{Y}\right|_{Z} \cong \mathscr{O}_{P}(-a-1)$. Hence $K_{Y}=\pi^{*} K_{P} \otimes K_{Y / P} \cong \pi^{*} \mathscr{O}_{P}(b-a)$ which is nef by our assumption.

Denote by $Q=\mathbb{P}^{b}=\mathbb{P}\left(W^{*}\right)$ and $Y^{\prime}=\mathscr{H}$ om $\left(V \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Q}, \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1)\right)=$ $V \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1)$. Then $Y^{\prime}$ is also a resolution which collapse the zero section of $Y^{\prime}$. The morphism $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ sent a morphism $V \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1)$ to the compostions $V \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1) \rightarrow W \otimes \mathscr{O}_{P}$. Similarly, we get $K_{Y^{\prime}} \cong \pi^{*} \mathscr{O}_{Q}(a-b)$ which is not nef.

This is an example of flips. Moreover, $X$ has a rational singularity at the origin. Denote $T=H \times \mathbb{P}(W)$. T can be viewed as a trivial vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}(W)$ or a projective bundle of the trivial vector bundle $W \otimes \mathscr{O}_{H}$ over $H$. Let $p: T \rightarrow H$ and $q: T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$ be the two projections. $Y$ is the vector bundle $V \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(-1)$. Consider the universal maps $p^{*} V \otimes \mathscr{O}_{T} \rightarrow p^{*} W \otimes \mathscr{O}_{T}$ on $T$. We also have the following exact sequence on $T$

$$
p^{*} W \otimes \mathscr{O}_{T} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{T}(1) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Therefore, $V$ can be identified as the zero locus of a section of $p^{*} V \otimes$ $\mathscr{O}_{T}(-1)$. This will give a resolution of $\mathscr{O}_{Y}$. By chasing the resolution, we can prove that $R^{i} p_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Y}=0$ for $i>0$.

## 3. Singularities of theta divisors

Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g$. We denote the $d$-th symmetric product of $C$ by $C^{(d)}$. Let $\operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C)$ be the degree $d$ component of the Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(C)$. Set $W_{d}^{r}=\left\{[L] \in \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C) \mid\right.$ $\left.h^{0}(L)>r\right\}$. There is a morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi: C^{(d)} & \rightarrow W_{d}^{0} \subset \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C) \\
D=\sum_{i=1}^{d} p_{i} \in C^{(d)} & \mapsto\left[\mathscr{O}_{C}(D)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that $L$ is a line bundle on $C$ such that $r=h^{0}(L)>0$. The fiber

$$
\varphi^{-1}([L])=\left\{D \mid D \geq 0 \text { and } \mathscr{O}_{X}(D) \sim L\right\}=\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}(L)^{*}\right) \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1}
$$

When $\operatorname{deg} L=g-1$, $\chi(L)=h^{0}(L)-h^{1}(L)=\operatorname{deg} L+1-g=0$. So $h^{0}(L)=h^{0}\left(K_{C}-L\right)$. Denote by $\Theta=\varphi\left(C^{(g-1)}\right)$ which is called the theta divisor. For general $L, h^{0}(L)=h^{1}(L)=1$. (??? Why?). This says that $\varphi: C^{(g-1)} \rightarrow \Theta$ is birational.

Theorem 3.1 (Mumford).

$$
\operatorname{dim} W_{g-1}^{r} \leq(g-1)-2 r-1
$$

Let $\Sigma=\left\{x \in C^{(g-1)} \mid \operatorname{dim} \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x)) \geq 1\right\}$. By Mumford's theorem, we know that that $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma \leq g-3$. Hence $\varphi$ is a small resolution.

The theta divisor is not smooth in general.
Theorem 3.2 (Riemann).

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{[L]} \Theta=\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(L)
$$

Riemann singularity theorem tells us $\Theta$ has the singular locus $W_{(g-1)}^{1}$.

However, singularities of $\Theta$ are not to bad. The following theorem tells us the $\Theta$ has rational singularities.

Theorem 3.3 (Kempf). The theta divisor $\Theta$ has rational singularities.

The proof is not very difficult. We will use the fact that $\Theta$ is a hypersurface.

Proof. We want to show that $R^{i} \varphi_{*} \mathscr{O}_{C^{(g-1)}}=0$ for $i>0$. Since $\Theta$ is a hypersurface, then $K_{\Theta}$ is a line bundle. Because $\varphi$ is a small resolution, we see that $K_{C^{(g-1)}}=\varphi^{*} K_{\Theta}$. By Grauert-Riemannshneider theorem and projective formula, we see that

$$
R^{i} \varphi_{*} \mathscr{O}_{C^{(g-1)}}=R^{i} \varphi_{*}\left(K_{C^{(g-1)}} \otimes \varphi^{*}\left(K_{\Theta}^{-1}\right)\right)=R^{i} \varphi_{*} K_{C^{(g-1)}} \otimes K_{\Theta}^{-1}=0
$$

Using the fact that $\Theta$ is a hypersurface, in fact a determinantal variety, at a point $[L], \Theta$ can be defined by a polynomial $f=f_{m}+$ $f_{m+1}+\cdots$, where $f_{m}$ is a degree $m$ homogenious polynomial. Blowing up $[L]$, the tangent cone is define by $f_{m}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$. Let $\Lambda=\varphi^{-1}([L]) \cong$ $\mathbb{P}^{r} \subset C^{(g-1)}$ and $E$ be the exceptional divisor of the blowing up $\psi$ : $B l_{\Lambda} C^{(g-1)} \rightarrow C^{(g-1)}$. We know that $\varphi$ is a rational resolution, so is the composition $\varphi \circ \psi$. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \mathfrak{m}^{t+1} / \mathfrak{m}^{t}=h^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{E}(-t E)\right)$, where $\mathfrak{f}$ is the maximal ideal of $[L]$. So we get mult ${ }_{[L]} \Theta=c_{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{E}(-E)\right)^{g-2}$. Note
that the exceptional divisor $E$ is the projectivization of the normal bundle $N=N_{\Lambda / C^{(g-1)}}$. So $\mathscr{O}_{E}(-E)=\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(N)}(1)$. On $\mathbb{P}(N)$, we have the universal sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{N / \Lambda}^{1}(1) \rightarrow \psi^{*} N^{*} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{P}(1) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

So $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{P}(1)\right)=\psi^{*}\left(c_{1}\left(N^{*}\right)\right)$ and $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{E}(-E)\right)^{g-2}=\psi^{*}\left(c_{1}\left(N^{*}\right)\right)^{(g-2)}$. Now we compute the normal bundle $N$. Consider the product $C \times \Lambda$, where $\Lambda=\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}(L)^{*}\right)$. Let $p: C \times \Lambda \rightarrow C$ and $q: C \times \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ be the two projections. Then $H^{0}\left(p^{*} L \otimes q^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\Lambda}(1)\right)=H^{0}(L) \otimes H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Lambda}(1)\right)=H^{0}(L) \otimes$ $H^{0}(L)^{*}=\operatorname{End}\left(H^{0}(L)\right)$. Let $s \in H^{0}\left(p^{*} L \otimes q^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\Lambda}(1)\right)$ be the section corresponding to the identity element in $E n d H^{0}(L)$ and $D=\operatorname{div}(s)$ be the universal divisor. We then have the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C \otimes \Lambda} \rightarrow p^{*} L \otimes q^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\Lambda}(1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{D}(D) \rightarrow 0
$$

Apply $q_{*}$, we have the exact sequence
$0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Lambda} \rightarrow H^{0}(L) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Lambda}(1) \rightarrow q_{*} \mathscr{O}_{D}(D) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(O_{C}\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Lambda} \rightarrow H^{1}(L) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Lambda}(1) \rightarrow 0$,
because $D \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a finite morphism and $R^{1} q_{*} \mathscr{O}_{D}(D)=0$. We observe that the cockerel of $\mathscr{O}_{\Lambda} \rightarrow H^{0}(L) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Lambda}(1) \cong T_{\Lambda}$ and $q_{*} \mathscr{O}_{D}(D) \cong$ $T_{C^{(g-1) \mid \Lambda}}$. (Why the second equality?????) So we obtain the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow H^{1}\left(O_{C}\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Lambda} \rightarrow H^{1}(L) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Lambda}(1) \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence we get $c_{1}\left(N^{*}\right)=c_{1}\left(\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Lambda}(r+1)\right)\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{mult}_{[L]} \Theta=c_{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{E}(-E)\right)^{g-2}=r+1=h^{0}(L)
$$

$\left(\right.$ Why $\left(\psi^{*} c_{1}\left(\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Lambda}(r+1)\right)\right)\right)^{g-2}=r+1$ ????)

## 4. Singularities in higher dimension

Recall that a normal surface singularity $(X, o)$ is Du Val, if there is a minimal resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $K_{Y} \cdot E_{i}=0$, equivalently, $K_{Y}=f^{*} K_{X}$.

The analogue in higher dimension is canonical singularities. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a $\log$ resolution, i.e. $Y$ is smooth, $f$ is proper, birational and the exceptional divisors are simple normal crossing. Write $K_{Y}-f^{*} K_{X}=\sum a_{i} E_{i}$, where $a_{i}$ is called the log discrepancy of $X$ along $E_{i}$. We say that $X$ has canonical singularities, if all $a_{i}^{\prime} s$ are non-negative.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that $X$ is an algebraic variety with only canonical singularities and $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a log resolution of $X$. Then

$$
\bigoplus_{m=0}^{+\infty} H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(m K_{X}\right)\right)=\bigoplus_{m=0}^{+\infty} H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(m K_{Y}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $E$ be the exceptional divisor. Notice that $f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{E}(E)=0$. Apply $f_{*}$ to the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}(E) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{E}(E) \rightarrow 0,
$$

we see that $f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Y}(E)=O_{Y}$. Apply the projective formula, we obtain the equality.

Definition 4.2 (Analytic version). Let $X$ be a smooth affine variety and $I_{Z}=<f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r}>$ be the ideal of a subvariety $Z$. For an positive number $\lambda$, the multiplier ideal of $Z$ of weight $\lambda$ is defined as

$$
\mathscr{I}\left(I_{Z}^{\lambda}\right)=\left\{g \in \mathscr{O}_{X} \left\lvert\, \frac{|g|^{2}}{\left(\sum\left|f_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda}} \in \mathrm{L}_{l o c}^{1}\right.\right\} .
$$

Example 4.3. Let $\mathscr{A}=<z^{a}>$ be an ideal in $k[z]$. The integral $\int\left|z^{b}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y=\int r^{b} r \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \theta$ exists if and only if $b+1>-1$. Therefore, $\mathscr{I}\left({ }^{\lambda}\right)=<z^{[\lambda a]}>$. More general, $\mathscr{I}\left(\left(z_{i}^{a_{1}} \cdot z_{n}^{a_{n}}\right)^{\lambda}\right)=\left(\left(z_{i}^{\lambda a_{1}} \cdot z_{n}^{\lambda a_{n}}\right)\right)$.

Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a $\log$ resolution of the pair $(X, Z)$, where $Z$ is a subvariety of $X$. The support $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\operatorname{Exc}(f)+f^{-1}(Z)\right)=E_{1} \cup E_{2} \cup$ $\cdots \cup E_{r}$ is simple normal crossing. Let $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}$ be local coordinates of $X$ and $w_{1}, \cdots, w_{n}$ be local coordinates of $Y$. Then

$$
\mathrm{d} w_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} w_{n} \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}_{n}=|J a c(f)|^{2} d z_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} z_{n} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{n} .
$$

Write relative canonical divisor $K_{Y / X}=\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Jac}(f)))=\sum k_{i} E_{i}$ and $I_{z} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{Y}=\mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(-\sum b_{i} E_{i}\right)$. Then $g \in \mathscr{I}\left(I_{Z}^{\lambda}\right)$ if and only if $\operatorname{ord}_{E_{i}} g \geq$ $\left[\lambda b_{i}\right]-k_{i}$. So we can define multiplier ideal sheaves algebraically.

Definition 4.4 (Algebraic version). Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of the pair $(X, Z)$. Then the multiplier ideal sheaf of $Z$ of weight $\lambda$ is

$$
\mathscr{I}\left(I_{Z}^{\lambda}\right)=f_{*} \mathscr{O}_{Y}\left(K_{Y / X}-[\lambda E]\right),
$$

where $E$ is the exceptional locus, i.e. $\mathscr{O}_{Y}(-E)=I_{Z} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{Y}$.
Definition 4.5. Let $(X, D)$ be a pair where $D$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. The pair $(X, D)$ is said to have

- Kawamata log terminal (kit) singularity if and only if the multipler ideal sheaf $\mathscr{I}(X, D)=\mathscr{O}_{X}$, equivalently, $k_{i}-b_{i}>-1$;
- terminal singularity if and only if $k_{i}-b_{i}>0$;
- canonical singularity if and only if $k_{i}-b_{i} \geq 0$;
- log canonical singularity if and only if $k_{i}-b_{i} \geq 0$.

Theorem 4.6 (Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem). Let $(X, \Delta)$ be a pair, where $\Delta=\sum \delta_{i} E_{i}$ is simple normal crossing and $X$ is smooth. Let $A$ be a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that $K_{X}+A+\Delta$ is numerically equivalent to a line bundle $L$. Then $H^{i}(L)=0$ for $i>0$.

Theorem 4.7 (Nadel vanishing theorem). Let $X$ be a smooth variety and $D$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$. Assume that $L$ is an integral divisor such that $L-D$ is nef and big. Then

$$
H^{i}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}+L\right) \otimes \mathscr{I}(D)\right)=0, \text { for all } i>0
$$

where $\mathscr{I}(D)$ is the multiplier ideal sheaf of $D$.

Let $A$ be an abelian variety of dimension $g$ and $\Theta$ be a line bundle on $A$. Then the following are equivalent
(1) $c_{i}(\Theta)^{g}=g!$,
(2) $h^{0}(\Theta)=1$,
(3) The morphism $\varphi_{\Theta}: A \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0} A=A^{*}$ given by $\varphi_{\Theta}(x)=T_{x} \Theta \otimes$ $\Theta^{-1}$, where $T_{x}$ is the translation by $x$, is an isomorphism.

Definition 4.8. An abelian variety $A$ together with a line bundle $\Theta$ satisfying one of the equivalent conditions is called a principal polarized abelian variety (p.p.a.v. for short), denoted by $(A, \Theta)$.

Theorem 4.9. A p.p.a.v. $(A, \Theta)$ is log canonical if and only if the multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathscr{I}((1-\varepsilon) \Theta)=\mathscr{O}_{A}$ for any $\varepsilon>0$.

We need the following lemma.
Lemma 4.10. Let $(A, \Theta)$ be a p.p.a.v. and $Z$ be a closed subscheme of $A$. If $H^{0}\left(I_{Z} \otimes \Theta \otimes P\right) \neq 0$ for all $P \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$, then $Z=\emptyset$.

Proof. Since $(A, \Theta)$ is p.p.a.v., then $\Theta \otimes P=T_{x} \Theta$ for some $x \in A$. By the assumption, $H^{0}\left(I_{Z} \otimes \Theta \otimes P\right) \neq 0$, for all $P$. Therefore, $Z \in T_{x} \Theta$ for all $x \in A$. However, $\cap T_{x} \Theta=\emptyset$ which forces $Z$ to be empty.

Proof of Kollar's theorem. Assume for the contradiction that $\mathscr{I}((1-$ $\varepsilon) \Theta) \neq O_{A}$ for some $\varepsilon$. Let $Z$ be the subvariety such that the ideal sheaf $I_{Z}=\mathscr{I}\left((1-\varepsilon)\right.$. It is clear that $H^{0}\left(I_{Z} \otimes \Theta\right) \neq 0$. Since $\Theta$ is nef and big, then $H^{i}\left(I_{Z} \otimes \Theta \otimes P\right)=0$ for all $i>0$ and $P \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$ by Nadel vanishing theorem. Therefore, $\chi\left(I_{Z} \otimes \Theta\right)>0$. Since $P \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$, then $\chi\left(I_{Z} \otimes \Theta \otimes P\right)>0$ which implies that $H^{0}\left(I_{Z} \otimes \Theta \otimes P\right) \neq 0$. So we see that $Z=\emptyset$.

Theorem 4.11 (Ein-Lazarsfeld). Assume that $(A, \Theta)$ is a p.p.a.v. and $\Theta$ is irreducible. If $\Theta$ has canonical singularities then $\Theta$ has rational singularities.

## 5. Adjoint Linear systems on surfaces

Conjecture 5.1 (Fujita). Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension $n$ and $A$ be an ample divisor on $X$. Then
(1) $K_{X}+(n+1) A$ is base-point-free.
(2) $K_{x}+(n+2) A$ is very ample.

For surfaces, Reider proved the conjecture. The base-point-freeness of $K_{X}+(n+1) A$ in 3 and 4 dimensional was proved by Ein-Lazarsfeld and Kawamata respectively. The conjecture is open for higher dimensional varieties.

Reider's proof uses Bogomolov unstability theorem
Theorem 5.2 (Bogomolov). Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a rank 2 vector bundle on a smooth projective surface $X$. The the following are equivalent
(1) $c_{1}^{2}(E)-4 c_{2}(E)>0$.
(2) Let $L=\operatorname{det}(\mathscr{E})$. There exists a divisor $B$, a 0-dimensional subscheme $W \subset X$ and an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(L-B) \rightarrow \mathscr{E} \rightarrow I_{W} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}(B) \rightarrow 0
$$

such that $(L-2 B)^{2}>4 \operatorname{deg} W$ and $(L-2 B) \cdot H>0$ for any ample divisor $H$.

For higher dimensional variety, so far we don't have any analogue of Bogomolov's theorem. The proofs of Ein-Lazarsfeld and Kawamata use multiplier ideal sheaves and Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem.

Theorem 5.3 (Reider). Let $X$ be a smooth projective surface and $A$ be a nef and big divisor on $X$. Assume that $A^{2}>4$, then the linear system $\left|K_{X}+A\right|$ is base point free at a point $p \in X$, unless there is a curve $B$ passing through $p$ such that
(1) $B^{2}=-1$ and $\left(K_{X}+A\right) \cdot B=0$, or
(2) $B^{2}=0$ and $\left(K_{X}+A\right) \cdot B=1$.

Proof. Write $L=K_{X}+A$. Consider the exact sequence

$$
\left.0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(L) \otimes I_{p} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(L) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(L)\right|_{p} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $I_{p}$ is the ideal sheaf of $p$ in $\mathscr{O}_{X}$. Since $A$ is nef and big, then $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(L)\right)=0$. The obstruction of $|L|$ being base point free at $p$ is in $H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(L) \otimes I_{p}\right)$. By Serre duality, we have
$\left.\left(H^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(L) \otimes I_{p}\right)\right)^{*} \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(L) \otimes I_{p}, \mathscr{O}\right) X\left(K_{X}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(A) \otimes I_{p}, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$.
If $|L|$ is not base point free at $p$, then there is an nonzero element $\eta \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(A) \otimes I_{p}, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$. So we have an extension

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(A) \otimes I_{p} \rightarrow 0
$$

It is easy to check that $c_{1}(E)^{2}-4 c_{2}(E)=A^{2}-4>0$. By Bogomolov theorem, we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(A-B) \rightarrow \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(B) \otimes I_{W} \rightarrow 0
$$

such that $(A-2 B)^{2}>4$ and $(A-2 B) \cdot H>0$ for any ample divisor $H$. Observe that the composition of morphism $\mathscr{O}_{X}(A-B) \rightarrow \mathscr{E} \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{X}(A) \otimes I_{p}$ is nontrivial. So we see that $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(B) \otimes I_{p}\right) \neq 0$. Then there is an effective divisor $D$ linearly equivalent to $B$ and passing through $p$. Since $\left.c_{2}(\mathscr{E})\right)=1$. Then $(A-D) \cdot D+\operatorname{deg} W=1$. To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that $(A-D) \cdot D=1$ and $D^{2} \leq 0$.

